



Subsidiarity

Annual Report

2013



SUBSIDIARITY ANNUAL REPORT 2013

SUBSIDIARITY ANNUAL REPORT 2013

1. Introduction

In his State of the Union address on 11 September 2013, EU Commission President Barroso stressed the importance of smart regulation and declared that the European Union needs to be "big on big things and smaller on smaller things" emphasising the importance of the subsidiarity principle and the need for Europe to make its legislation fit for purpose. He further announced that he valued subsidiarity highly and that for him "subsidiarity is not a technical concept. It is a fundamental democratic principle. An ever closer union among the citizens of Europe demands that decisions are taken as openly as possible and as closely to the people as possible."

The same subsidiarity principle has gained prominence in some of the Member States throughout the year in the somewhat EU-sceptic debate on the limits of the EU's powers, as a means of having "less Europe" in certain areas. However, the subsidiarity principle is the backbone of European governance, assuring that decisions are taken at the most appropriate level of governance, as close as possible to the individual citizen. Effective subsidiarity monitoring has an important role to play within the EU in order to ensure that EU policy objectives are met and accepted by the public. The Committee of the Regions (CoR) is committed to ensuring and facilitating the effective application of the subsidiarity principle and is striving to become the point of reference in terms of subsidiarity within the EU. Moreover, in 2013 the CoR engaged in establishing an agreement² with the European Parliament covering *inter alia* cooperation on ensuring the respect of the subsidiarity principle.

The CoR seeks to constructively contribute to the European decision-making process at an early stage in order to achieve the best possible legislation. The purpose of the CoR's subsidiarity monitoring activities is to detect any breach of the subsidiarity principle as early as possible in the legislative procedure. By signalling potential infringements the CoR helps to prevent the enactment of legislation which would be in breach of the subsidiarity principle and which would require time-consuming *expost* measures to put it right, such as bringing a case before the Court of Justice of the European Union. The added value of subsidiarity monitoring therefore comes from preventing the enactment of legislation that is not fit for purpose.

¹ http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release SPEECH-13-684 en.htm

Cooperation Agreement between the European Parliament and the Committee of the Regions, signed on 5 February 2014 (COR-2013-07657-17-00-NB-TRA)

This is the CoR's fourth Subsidiarity Annual Report. In 2012 the CoR revised its strategy for subsidiarity monitoring, reinforcing its governance and establishing a comprehensive approach to monitoring, and 2013 was the first year in which these new elements were put into practice. The fourth Subsidiarity Annual Report has two main aims; to outline the CoR's subsidiarity monitoring activities during the year and their impact, and to give an overview of other important subsidiarityrelated activities. Firstly, the main features of the Subsidiarity Work Programme 2013 are presented (part 1), followed by a summary of activities carried out for its implementation in 2013 (part 2). The governance structure and tools of subsidiarity monitoring are described (part 3) and the impact of the monitoring is then assessed by examining the content of CoR opinions with regard to subsidiarity (part 4). Part 5 is devoted to the most important event of the year in the field of subsidiarity: the 6th Subsidiarity Conference - a unique forum in which to evaluate subsidiarity monitoring in the post-Lisbon context together with the key players of EU and national institutions and generate ideas on how monitoring can be adapted and developed in order to respond adequately to current and future challenges. The year 2013 was also a milestone in the development of territorial impact assessment at the CoR (part 6). Finally, conclusions are drawn and the outlook for the next year is presented (part 7).

2. **Subsidiarity Work Programme 2013**

2.1 Adoption

The main novelty within the field of subsidiarity monitoring for the CoR in 2013 was the adoption and implementation of its first Subsidiarity Work Programme³. This constitutes the very first structured attempt to monitor EU initiatives upstream. In accordance with the revised subsidiarity monitoring strategy adopted by the CoR Bureau in May 2012⁴, the CoR will henceforth rely on an annual Subsidiarity Work Programme aimed at identifying a number of EU initiatives of potential interest from a subsidiarity point of view. Under the procedure, the selection of subjects for the 2013 Subsidiarity Work Programme was carried out with the assistance of the Subsidiarity Expert Group, composed of officials chosen from the Subsidiarity Monitoring Network according to their expertise in subsidiarity matters as well as a strong background in EU law, on the basis of an analysis of the European Commission Work Programme, the road maps and other available documents. The following selection criteria were applied:

- a) initiatives should present a clear political interest for local and regional authorities;
- b) initiatives should touch on competences of local and regional authorities;
- c) initiatives should bear a potential subsidiarity dimension.

³ CdR 2336/2012, adopted by the CoR Bureau on 30 January 2013

Subsidiarity monitoring: a revised strategy for the Committee of the Regions, R/CdR 606/2012

The selection undertaken by the Subsidiarity Expert Group was reviewed and endorsed by the Subsidiarity Steering Group. As a result, five initiatives⁵ were retained and submitted to the CoR Bureau as part of the Subsidiarity Work Programme for 2013, to be monitored as a priority from a subsidiarity point of view. The Subsidiarity Work Programme also included the tools at the disposal of the CoR for subsidiarity monitoring, to be activated throughout the year in the light of the institutional calendar and the actual content of proposals. The Subsidiarity Work Programme was adopted by the CoR Bureau on 30 January 2013.

2.2 Implementation

On the basis of the Work Programme, the CoR administration set up an internal early flagging system to ensure the proper monitoring of the selected EU initiatives that might raise subsidiarity concerns. The implementation of the Subsidiarity Work Programme requires an involvement at the earliest possible stage of all relevant political and administrative stakeholders, with a view to identifying and planning the subsidiarity monitoring activities to be carried out both before and after the European Commission adopts its proposals. In 2013 the following five initiatives were thus selected to be monitored closely with the help of the different tools available.

2.2.1 Review of EU waste policy and legislation

A consultation of the Subsidiarity Expert Group and the Subsidiarity Monitoring Network (SMN) on these matters was launched on 12 March 2013 and ran until 3 May 2013. The experts and the partners of the SMN were invited to provide a subsidiarity analysis concerning the potential reinforcement of current waste targets and the potential introduction of new ones. The consultation brought to light important subsidiarity and proportionality-related elements, some of which were fed into the CoR opinion. A report, summarising the key findings, was submitted to the rapporteur, Michel Lebrun (BE/EPP), and to the European Commission. The report is also available online on the SMN website⁶. The CoR outlook opinion on the review of EU waste key targets⁷, which refers to the outcome of the consultation, was adopted at the plenary session in July 2013.

2.2.2 The Ports Package

This file⁸ replaced the Blue Belt initiative that was initially selected since the latter proved to be more relevant from a subsidiarity point of view and since the COTER commission were preparing an opinion on the subject. There were concerns that this legislative proposal might not take sufficient account of particular local and regional conditions in Europe, and so a consultation of regional parliaments and governments on subsidiarity and proportionality-related issues was launched on

⁵ See points 2.2.1 to 2.2.5

⁶ Report on EU waste legislation

⁷ CdR 1617/2013

⁸ COM(2013) 295 final, COM(2013) 296 final, COM(2013) 510 final

REGPEX in parallel with the Early Warning Mechanism eight-week period, from 23 May to 30 July 2013⁹. All concerned concluded that the subsidiarity principle had been complied with, although some proportionality issues were detected. A report summarising the key findings and presenting a number of conclusions was submitted to the European Commission and to the rapporteur of the CoR opinion on the Framework of future EU Ports Policy, Alessandro Cosimi (IT/PES). The opinion, which was adopted at the plenary session in November 2013¹⁰, finds that the proposal complies with the principle of subsidiarity, but expresses doubts as to proportionality and the choice of a regulation rather than a directive. Furthermore, a territorial impact assessment workshop was co-organised by the CoR and ESPON¹¹ on 25 September 2013 in relation to this initiative. During the workshop the experts could identify the negative impact concerning soil sealing in certain regions adjacent to the sea as well as the positive impact with regard to innovation, but no effects of this initiative were detected with regard to employment in the tertiary sector. This workshop was the first pilot workshop to use the new Quick Scan methodology, developed by ESPON and DG REGIO.

2.2.3 E-invoicing in public procurement

The European Commission issued its proposal for a directive on e-invoicing in public procurement ¹² on 26 June 2013, and a subsidiarity and proportionality-related consultation of regional parliaments and governments was launched on REGPEX in parallel with the Early Warning Mechanism that ran until 26 September 2013. The proposal represented a potential impact on costs and administrative burdens at local and regional level. However, most of the contributions concluded that the principle of subsidiarity had been complied with, although some questions were raised, particularly with regard to the principle of proportionality. In particular it was highlighted that the perspective of local and regional authorities was not properly taken into account. The contributions were summarised and analysed in a report submitted to the rapporteur, Catharina Segersten Larsson (SE/EPP), and published online ¹³. The CoR opinion, adopted at the plenary session in November 2013 ¹⁴, considered that the proposal was in line with the subsidiarity principle.

2.2.4 Urban Mobility

The institutional agenda prevented proper subsidiarity monitoring, since the initiative was not issued during the year as expected. However, given the importance of this topic for local authorities, the CoR took part in the European Commission's impact assessment through a jointly organised workshop on 13 June 2013, in which local and regional authorities and representatives from DG MOVE participated.

9 CoR - Ports Package

COR - POILS Package

¹⁰ CdR 3610/2013

¹¹ The European Observation Network for Territorial Development and Cohesion

¹² COM(2013) 453 final, COM(2013) 449 final

¹³ E-invoicing in public procurement - analysis of contributions

¹⁴ CdR 5277/2013

Several members of the Subsidiarity Expert Group also participated in the workshop in their capacity as experts. This workshop enriched the debate and contributed to a better understanding of the local and regional dimension in this particular policy field. The participants in the workshop concluded *inter alia* that introducing mandatory requirements would be premature, but developing common performance indicators and benchmarking for cities could be very interesting. The results of the workshop have been summarised and sent to the European Commission. Since the initiative was published at the end of 2013 it has been carried over into the Subsidiarity Work Programme for 2014.

2.2.5 Environmental climate and energy assessment framework to enable safe and secure unconventional hydrocarbon extraction

The initiative on unconventional hydrocarbon extraction was delayed and published only in January 2014, which prevented proper subsidiarity monitoring. This initiative too has therefore been carried over into the Subsidiarity Work Programme for 2014. The CoR outlook opinion on *Local and Regional Authorities' perspective on Shale/Tight gas and oil* (rapporteur Brian Meaney, IE/EA), was adopted at the plenary session in October 2013¹⁵, but it did not include any reference to subsidiarity.

2.3 Additional Consultations

Consultations continued to operate through the website of the Subsidiarity Monitoring Network (SMN) in 2013. Targeted consultations can be launched at the request of CoR rapporteurs and may also, since May 2012, be initiated by the Subsidiarity Steering Group. In addition, SMN partners may submit their subsidiarity analyses of any EU proposal. These are uploaded on to the website and, whenever applicable, forwarded to the relevant CoR rapporteur.

At the end of 2012, an initial consultation of the Subsidiarity Expert Group was organised at the request of the rapporteur, Franz Schausberger (AT/EPP), as part of the preparations for an own-initiative opinion on: *Devolution in the European Union and the place for local and regional self-government in EU policy making and delivery*¹⁶. The consultation ran until 3 January 2013 and the contributions were summarised in a report that was published in February 2013¹⁷. The contributions provided some concrete examples illustrating the relationship between devolution and the application of the subsidiarity principle, as well as some more abstract thoughts on the role, application and effects of the principle itself in the EU and domestic contexts. The interesting elements highlighted by the contributions added a clear value to the discussion and were forwarded to the rapporteur to provide input for the draft opinion.

16 CdR 2214/2013

¹⁵ CdR 1617/2013

¹⁷ Report of the Consultation of the Subsidiarity Expert Group on Devolution in the European Union

3. Political governance and CoR tools for subsidiarity monitoring

3.1 Subsidiarity Steering Group

The Subsidiarity Steering Group (SSG) was set up in September 2012 in order to provide political governance for subsidiarity monitoring at the CoR and to ensure coordination between the administrative and political activities of the CoR on subsidiarity matters. During the first year of its existence the SSG closely followed the implementation of the Subsidiarity Work Programme and decided on concrete subsidiarity monitoring activities. The SSG was able to bring the views of the Subsidiarity Monitoring Network partners into the political process of the CoR by making their findings known at meetings of the political groups, the thematic commissions and at plenary sessions. Due to the change in the political landscape of the CoR as the new political group of European Conservatives and Reformists (ECR) was founded, the composition of the Subsidiarity Steering Group gained one extra member from the new political group.

Furthermore, the SSG gained visibility not only internally within the CoR, but also externally, at the Subsidiarity Conference on 18 December 2013 in Berlin¹⁸. At this inter-institutional event, each SSG member had a specific role moderating or chairing the different sessions and giving impetus to the discussion. Moreover, the chair of the SSG gave one of the keynote speeches on "*The principle of subsidiarity as a joint challenge and opportunity for the European institutions.*"

In reviewing the year 2013, it can be concluded that the setting-up of a political governance body contributed to the overall objective of increasing ownership of subsidiarity issues at the CoR. The members of the SSG took on their task with dedication and the CoR's subsidiarity monitoring activities benefited greatly from their political input and piloting.

3.2 Subsidiarity Expert Group

Under the revised subsidiarity strategy the Subsidiarity Expert Group (SEG) was set up to provide back-up for subsidiarity monitoring with knowledge from experts at local and regional level. The SEG is made up of experts from the administrations of local and regional authorities or associations thereof that have been selected for their expertise and experience in subsidiarity monitoring. One of the most important contributions of the SEG was provided at the beginning of the subsidiarity monitoring cycle, during the preparation of the CoR Subsidiarity Work Programme. At the end of 2012 the experts assisted the CoR in the selection of subsidiarity priorities from the European Commission Work Programme. The involvement of the SEG ensures that initiatives that are particularly relevant from a subsidiarity point of view and of interest to regional and local authorities are selected to form part of the CoR Subsidiarity Work Programme in order to be subject to thorough subsidiarity monitoring. This selection exercise was repeated at the end of 2013, with a view to preparing the Subsidiarity Work Programme for 2014.

-

¹⁸ For further information see: <u>CoR - 6th Subsidiarity Conference</u>

The experts are also at the disposal of CoR rapporteurs for the preparation of draft opinions and may provide subsidiarity analyses when needed. In 2013, as well as contributing to the review of EU key waste targets¹⁹, the SEG provided useful subsidiarity input through the consultations concerning the own-initiative opinion on *Devolution in the European Union and the place for local and regional self-government in EU policymaking and delivery*²⁰. The SEG also participated in the workshop on urban mobility, which was jointly organised by the European Commission and the CoR as part of the impact assessment of the former²¹ and its experts participated in the 6th Subsidiarity Conference in Berlin in December 2013.

The input which experts provide to the CoR's consultative activities creates a link to the subsidiarity debate in the Member States, strengthens mutual comprehension and brings the CoR closer to its local and regional partners and thus to the needs of the EU's citizens. In fact, the SEG serves as a network of "core" CoR contact points for subsidiarity in the Member States. Consequently, the active participation of the SEG contributes towards shaping the subsidiarity debate in Europe.

3.3 Subsidiarity Monitoring Network

The Subsidiarity Monitoring Network (SMN)²² has further strengthened its position during its sixth year of existence and the subsidiarity analyses submitted by the partners continue to stimulate the subsidiarity monitoring undertaken by the CoR. The network grew further in 2013 and included 146 partners at the end of the year²³. Among the newcomers were two Italian regional assemblies (Lombardy and Sicily), the State Parliament of Saxony, the Friuli-Venezia-Giulia Regional Government and the City Council of Harghita, Romania. The SMN now includes local and regional authorities and their associations, as well as a few national parliaments and national CoR delegations, representing all Member States, with the exception of Estonia and Croatia. Efforts will be continued to further extend the network so as to gain union-wide representation and encourage the active participation of all partners. Thanks to the work of the SMN, CoR members in general and rapporteurs in particular can benefit from quality input on subsidiarity-related matters, which facilitates the task of including sound subsidiarity assessments in CoR opinions.

¹⁹ See point 2.2.1

See point 2.3

²¹ See point 2.2.4

^{22 &}lt;u>CoR - The Subsidiarity Monitoring Network</u>

See list of partners in Appendix 1

3.4 REGPEX

In 2013, the CoR further upgraded and developed REGPEX - the sub-network of the Subsidiarity Monitoring Network open to parliaments and governments of regions endowed with legislative powers²⁴. This web-based tool and platform for coordination now includes an interactive map of regions with legislative powers and detailed profiles of each regional parliament. It also provides a standard form in English which summarises subsidiarity analyses in order to make it easier for regional parliaments to exchange their views, in spite of potential language barriers. REGPEX now counts 29 regional governments and 42 regional parliaments among its partners. The REGPEX search engine facilitates a selection of priorities for subsidiarity monitoring and an exchange of information between partners since it provides a direct access to information which can sustain a subsidiarity analysis, such as links to PreLex, IPEX, CoR opinions and other REGPEX partners' contributions. REGPEX continues to be an important tool for subsidiarity monitoring and plays a particularly vital role in the monitoring of initiatives under the Early Warning System. In 2013, a total of 66 contributions submitted by REGPEX partners were uploaded. Among the more active partners can be mentioned the Thuringian State Parliament, the Emilia Romagna Regional Legislative Assembly, the Austrian Bundesrat, the Austrian State Governor's Conference and the Baden-Württemberg State Parliament. Regional parliaments with legislative powers have an important role to play in the Early Warning Mechanism as they provide a voice for the regional and local dimension of subsidiarity scrutiny. Inter-parliamentary cooperation – of which REGPEX is one of the tools - is vital here since it fosters the exchange of good practices and a more common approach to subsidiarity monitoring.

3.5 Study on the Subsidiarity Early Warning System

In order to assess the experiences of regional parliaments and other relevant stakeholders regarding the Early Warning System and present examples of best practice, the CoR published study entitled *The Subsidiarity Early Warning System of the Lisbon Treaty – the role of regional parliaments with legislative powers and other subnational authorities*²⁵ in November. The study compares and evaluates the involvement of regional parliaments having legislative powers and other regional assemblies and relevant stakeholders in the Early Warning System in the wake of the Lisbon Treaty. A variety of questions are addressed, such as the level of involvement, arrangements and cooperation, but the aim of the study is to go beyond a pure description of such things and assess them against each other with a view to identifying best practices and making recommendations for the future. The study was presented at the 6th Subsidiarity Conference in Berlin.

²⁴

CoR - REGPEX

^{25 &}lt;u>Study 'The Subsidiarity Early Warning System of the Lisbon Treaty – the role of regional parliaments with legislative powers and other subnational authorities'</u>

4. Subsidiarity in CoR opinions

The CoR adopted 72 opinions in 2013²⁶. Of these, 72 per cent (52) included an explicit reference to the application of the subsidiarity principle as requested by Rule 51(2) of the CoR's Rules of Procedure and 36 per cent (26) set out a clear position on the initiative's compliance with the principle.

Nineteen of the 20 opinions that did not include any reference to subsidiarity were adopted either on non-legislative initiatives (Communications, Green Papers or Reports), or at the initiative of the CoR (own-initiative opinions)²⁷, which did not refer to any existing EU initiative. Furthermore, two of the own-initiative opinions concerned state aid, an area where only the EU is empowered to take action and to which the subsidiarity principle is not applicable. Moreover, the one opinion referring to a legislative proposal which did not comply with Rule 51(2) of the Rules of Procedure was adopted on a proposal in a policy field where consultation of the CoR is not mandatory. To sum up, all CoR opinions adopted in 2013 referring to a legislative proposal within a policy area of mandatory consultation included an explicit reference to subsidiarity.

The following opinions adopted by the CoR in 2013 are to be highlighted for their relevance from a subsidiarity point of view; some have raised concerns with regard to compliance with the subsidiarity and proportionality principles or the use of delegated acts, and three of them refer to an EU initiative included in the Subsidiarity Work Programme for 2013. These are: the opinion on *Revision of the Environmental Impact Assessment Directive* (CdR 591/2013); the opinion on the *Manufacture, presentation and sale of tobacco and related products* (CdR 2062/2013); the outlook opinion on the *Review of the targets contained in the Waste Framework Directive, the Landfill Directive and the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive* (CdR 1617/2013); the own-initiative opinion on *Assessing Territorial Impacts* (CdR 29/2013); the opinion on *Maritime spatial planning and integrated coastal management* (CdR 3766/2013); the opinion on *Framework on Future EU Ports Policy* (CdR 3610/2013); the opinion on *E-invoicing and end-to-end e-procurement* (CdR 5277/2013); and the opinion on *Regulation on Official Controls* (CdR 5295/2013)²⁸.

As already observed in previous years, subsidiarity is definitely becoming a point of reference for the drafting of opinions. In the Subsidiarity Annual Report 2012 it was underlined that, given the new prerogatives and responsibilities of the CoR in the area of subsidiarity monitoring and despite the progress already made, all opinions on legislative proposals in areas of mandatory consultation should include a systematic appraisal of compliance with the subsidiarity principle.

_

²⁶ See Appendix 2 for an overview of opinions adopted between 1 January and 31 December 2013

Furthermore, two of the own-initiative opinions concerned an area of exclusive EU competence to which the subsidiarity principle is not applicable

²⁸ See appendix 3 for more details on each of these CoR opinions

It is therefore very satisfying to note that during 2013 the number of opinions on legislative proposals not respecting Rule 51(2) of the CoR's Rules of Procedure is down to only one, and that there was not a single one within a policy area of mandatory consultation. This constitutes a great step forward and confirms that the quality of subsidiarity references in CoR opinions has clearly benefited from the political governance role played by the Subsidiarity Steering Group and the input provided as a result of the various monitoring activities.

5. 6th Subsidiarity Conference

The main subsidiarity event of the year, the 6th Subsidiarity Conference²⁹, co-organised by the CoR and the German Bundesrat, took place on 18 December 2013 in Berlin and brought together prominent representatives from EU institutions, national and regional parliaments, as well as academia, to assess the status and impact of the principle of subsidiarity on EU law-making in the post-Lisbon era. The German Bundesrat constituted the perfect venue for attracting prominent speakers and an interested audience of around 200 people from 22 different countries, representing all relevant levels of governance. Moreover, the excellent collaboration between the Bundesrat and the CoR in organising the event contributed greatly to its success. The CoR was able to fulfil its commitment to provide a broad framework for discussion on subsidiarity matters at EU level on a regular biennial basis. This year's event was of a genuine inter-institutional nature and therefore created a precedent for future events and cooperation. The positive feedback on the discussions received from participants and the high level of attendance testify to the success of the event.

The Subsidiarity Conference has thus proved its worth as a unique inter-institutional and multi-level platform promoting a "subsidiarity culture" in Europe. In summary, five main strands in the conclusions from the sixth conference can be identified:

- The principle of subsidiarity must be the yardstick for assessing the democratic legitimacy of EU legislation. Subsidiarity is the key tool for analysing and clarifying the role of the different levels of governance in order to shape policies for the benefit of all EU citizens. Its aim is to ensure that decisions are taken at the most appropriate level European, central, regional or local. The effective application of the subsidiarity principle in the EU thus enhances the democratic legitimacy of European governance, helps to achieve better regulation, and increases the acceptance of decisions by the general public. This principle gains even more importance through the ever-widening discussion on the limits of EU action.
- The Subsidiarity Early Warning System has proven to be a valuable tool for more efficient subsidiarity monitoring and thus increased democratic control. It may need to be further developed to ensure the optimal and timely involvement of all key players. A request to extend the eight-week deadline was expressed by several speakers and participants at the conference. On a general note, the participants highly appreciated the possibility offered by the conference to exchange experiences in implementing the Early Warning System.

-

^{29 &}lt;u>CoR - 6th Subsidiarity Conference</u>

- Inter-institutional cooperation on subsidiarity monitoring provides a clear added value and should
 be increased in order to apply the subsidiarity principle as effectively as possible. Subsidiarity
 monitoring should not be limited to the legislative process, but should be strengthened at the prelegislative stage too. Closer cooperation between all the relevant institutional players, and
 particularly between national parliaments and regional parliaments, should thus be encouraged.
- A culture of subsidiarity should be fostered and there must be a clear link to multilevel
 governance. Multilevel governance is a concept that operates more effectively when connected
 with the subsidiarity principle. But multilevel governance is also a key driver for subsidiarity
 monitoring, as the latter can only be effective if it is based on genuine cooperation between all the
 relevant levels of governance.
- Communication efforts should be focused. The European Union must put its citizens at the centre
 of its policies and make use of the main mechanism the subsidiarity principle that ensures
 good governance in Europe. This should be expressed in a language that ordinary people can
 understand.

For the CoR the Subsidiarity Conference was a unique opportunity to present its achievements in the field of subsidiarity monitoring since the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty and to share its experiences with the key players in order to shape the subsidiarity debate in Europe.

6. Territorial impact assessment

A comprehensive impact assessment is one of the European Union's tools for improving the quality of legislation. However, territorial aspects have not been considered to be as important as social, economic and environmental effects in EU policymaking. As more focus has been placed on the territorial cohesion objective of the Lisbon Treaty, the need for a territorial impact assessment of the EU's policies for individual sectors has increased, not least because such assessments can help to improve coordination and the acceptance of such policies. Regional diversities mean that specific EU legislation will have a different impact on the various regions within the EU, which justifies the increasing attention being paid to this particular issue.

The CoR has worked with the European Commission on impact assessments since 2009 and during 2013 the CoR carried out three impact assessment workshops. On 29 May 2013 the CoR organised for the first time a special impact assessment workshop on coastal and maritime tourism in cooperation with DG MARE and DG ENTR. This event was designed to facilitate a sharing of views between the European Commission and local and regional authorities and allow the latter to have direct contact with the departments responsible for preparing future proposals. A second impact assessment workshop, this time on urban mobility, was organised on 13 June 2013 together with DG MOVE³⁰. The third workshop was organised on 26 September 2013 and focused on one of the initiatives included in the Subsidiarity Work Programme, namely the Ports Package³¹.

See point 2.2.4

³⁰

³¹ See point 2.2.3

Furthermore, during the Open Days in October, a more general event was devoted to "Territorial Impact Assessment: a tool for better law-making and territorial cohesion" which brought together speakers from the Commission, the European Parliament, the CoR and ESPON. To sum up, the CoR's contribution to impact assessments provided the Commission with views from local and regional experts and data on the relevance and added value of proposed policy proposals and options. Such workshops constitute a valuable occasion for local and regional authorities to participate in the prelegislative phase and constructively contribute to European policymaking at an early stage.

The adoption of the own-initiative opinion on "Assessing Territorial Impacts" in July 2013 (rapporteur Michael Schneider, DE/EPP) marked a milestone in the CoR's commitment to territorial impact assessments. In the opinion, the CoR declared its intention "to cooperate closely with the Commission in selecting and carrying out territorial impact assessments and to be interested in cooperating closely with the EP and the Council to see the principle of territorial impact assessment reflected more clearly in legislative procedures." The CoR has three main objectives when performing territorial impact assessments: (i) to allow CoR rapporteurs to have access to specific analyses and information that can be used to improve the territorial dimension of CoR opinions; (ii) to improve EU policymaking by ensuring that potentially asymmetric territorial impacts of EU legislative and policy proposals on territories and on local and regional authorities are taken into account; and (iii) to improve the visibility of territorial impact assessment as an important element for better EU legislation. Following the mandate established by the own-initiative opinion on "Assessing Territorial Impacts" the CoR will develop a territorial impact assessment strategy and a work programme for 2014.

7. Conclusion and outlook

In 2013, subsidiarity monitoring at the CoR was further consolidated by the implementation of the revised strategy for subsidiarity monitoring, in particular the Subsidiarity Work Programme. Relying on sound monitoring tools, the CoR reaffirmed its comprehensive approach, regarding subsidiarity monitoring as a responsibility throughout the whole policymaking cycle, with the aim of intervening as early as possible.

With its first Subsidiarity Work Programme the CoR has taken on the task of monitoring EU initiatives upstream. The political governance provided by the Subsidiarity Steering Group and the monitoring tools at hand, such as the Subsidiarity Monitoring Network, the Subsidiarity Expert Group and REGPEX, have enabled the CoR to successfully carry out this task and prepare for exploring further possibilities for monitoring subsidiarity and looking into other related issues in the field, such as territorial impact assessment, for the benefit of local and regional authorities and, as a result, all EU citizens.

_

³² CdR 29/2013, CoR - Assessment on Territorial Impacts

The subsidiarity work carried out has led to the raised awareness for these issues among the members of the CoR. This is reflected in the CoR opinions, which contain increasingly substantial assessments of compliance with the subsidiarity principle and make suggestions in order to improve EU law-making. The aim of the next Subsidiarity Work Programme will be to reinforce the established patterns of cooperation within the CoR and with external partners, whether they be EU institutions, local and regional authorities or associations.

With the 6th inter-institutional Subsidiarity Conference on 18 December 2013 in Berlin the CoR has taken the lead and provided a forum for fruitful, constructive discussions on subsidiarity matters at EU and national level, bringing together the key players and shaping the debate on subsidiarity. The success of the conference will certainly help to create a precedent for future events and cooperation.

As regards impact assessment, the CoR has contributed to the general impact assessment exercise of the European Commission, through the findings of the workshops organised in 2013, which focus on the territorial dimension of the proposed initiatives. Furthermore, the opinion on "Assessing Territorial Impacts", adopted in 2013, paved the way to the development of the territorial impact assessment strategy, which will be implemented in 2014. Engaging in territorial impact assessment, the CoR seeks to contribute to the inter-institutional efforts striving for better law-making.

Subsidiarity is not simply about getting more or less legislation at EU level. Subsidiarity is about getting it at the right level, as close as possible to the individual citizen, and it goes without saying that we need to close the gap that has developed between the EU and its citizens. The CoR is committed to contributing towards the creation of a subsidiarity culture and will continue to fulfil this commitment by strengthening its subsidiarity monitoring, further developing its monitoring tools and reinforcing inter-institutional cooperation.

* *

Appendix 1

List of partners The CoR Subsidiarity Monitoring Network

146 partners at 31 December 2013

<u>Parliaments or assemblies representing regions</u> <u>with legislative powers</u>

| Lower Austria State Parliament | Austria | | | | |
|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Burgenland State Parliament | Austria | | | | |
| Carinthia State Parliament | Austria | | | | |
| Tyrol State Parliament | Austria | | | | |
| Vorarlberg State Parliament | Austria | | | | |
| Flemish Parliament | Belgium | | | | |
| Walloon Parliament | Belgium | | | | |
| Brussels-Capital Region Parliament | Belgium | | | | |
| French Community Parliament | Belgium | | | | |
| Åland Parliament | Finland | | | | |
| Bavarian State Parliament | Germany | | | | |
| Baden-Württemberg State Parliament | Germany | | | | |
| Hesse State Parliament | Germany | | | | |
| North Rhine-Westphalia State Parliament | Germany | | | | |
| Lower Saxony State Parliament | Germany | | | | |
| Saxony-Anhalt State Parliament | Germany | | | | |
| Schleswig-Holstein State Parliament | Germany | | | | |
| Thüringen State Parliament | Germany | | | | |
| Hamburg City Parliament | Germany | | | | |
| Saxon State Parliament | Germany | | | | |
| Emilia Romagna Regional Legislative Assembly | Italy | | | | |
| Marche Regional Legislative Assembly | Italy | | | | |
| Sardinia Regional Legislative Assembly | Italy | | | | |
| Tuscany Regional Legislative Assembly | Italy | | | | |
| Trento Autonomous Province Legislative Assembly | Italy | | | | |
| Friuli – Venezia Giulia Regional Assembly | Italy | | | | |
| Abruzzo Regional Assembly | Italy | | | | |
| Calabria Regional Assembly | Italy | | | | |
| Piedmont Regional Assembly | Italy | | | | |
| Lombardy Regional Assembly | Italy | | | | |
| Sicilian Regional Assembly | Italy | | | | |
| Azores Legislative Assembly | Portugal | | | | |

| Madeira Legislative Assembly | Portugal |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Asturias Legislative Assembly | Spain |
| Basque Regional Parliament | Spain |
| Canary Islands Regional Assembly | Spain |
| Catalan Regional Parliament | Spain |
| Extremadura Regional Assembly | Spain |
| Galician Regional Parliament | Spain |
| Navarre Regional Parliament | Spain |
| Welsh National Assembly | United Kingdom |
| Northern Ireland Assembly (NIA) | United Kingdom |

$\underline{\textbf{Governments or executives representing regions}} \ \underline{\textbf{with legislative powers}}$

| Vienna City Municipal Executive Austria Steiermark State Government Austria Vorarlberg State Government Austria Upper Austrian State Government Belgium Bavarian State Government Germany Hesse State Government Germany Lower Saxony State Government Germany Lower Saxony State Government Germany Khineland-Palatinate State Government Germany Hamburg City Senate Germany Abruzzo Regional Government Italy Bolzano/Bozen – South Tyrol Provincial Government Italy Lombardy Regional Government Italy Piedmont Regional Government Italy Veneto Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Portugal Madeira Regional Government Spain Madeira Regional Government Spain Galicia Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Valencia Regi | Lower Austrian State Government | Austria | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Vorarlberg State GovernmentAustriaUpper Austrian State GovernmentBelgiumBavarian State GovernmentGermanyHesse State GovernmentGermanyLower Saxony State GovernmentGermanySaxony State GovernmentGermanySaxony State GovernmentGermanyHamburg City SenateGermanyAbruzzo Regional GovernmentItalyBolzano/Bozen - South Tyrol Provincial GovernmentItalyLombardy Regional GovernmentItalyPiedmont Regional GovernmentItalyVeneto Regional GovernmentItalyEmilia Romagna Regional GovernmentItalyFriuli Venezia Giulia Regional GovernmentItalyMadeira Regional GovernmentPortugalMadeira Regional GovernmentPortugalBasque GovernmentSpainCanary Islands GovernmentSpainGalicia Regional GovernmentSpainMadrid Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpain | Vienna City Municipal Executive | Austria | | | | |
| Upper Austrian State Government Flemish Government Belgium Bavarian State Government Germany Hesse State Government Germany Lower Saxony State Government Germany Saxony State Government Germany Rhineland-Palatinate State Government Germany Hamburg City Senate Germany Abruzzo Regional Government Bolzano/Bozen - South Tyrol Provincial Government Luly Fiedmont Regional Government Veneto Regional Government Italy Veneto Regional Government Emilia Romagna Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Madeira Regional Government Portugal Basque Government Portugal Basque Government Spain Canary Islands Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Valencia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Asturias Regional Government Spain Spain | Steiermark State Government | Austria | | | | |
| Flemish GovernmentBelgiumBavarian State GovernmentGermanyHesse State GovernmentGermanyLower Saxony State GovernmentGermanySaxony State GovernmentGermanyRhineland-Palatinate State GovernmentGermanyHamburg City SenateGermanyAbruzzo Regional GovernmentItalyBolzano/Bozen - South Tyrol Provincial GovernmentItalyLombardy Regional GovernmentItalyPiedmont Regional GovernmentItalyVeneto Regional GovernmentItalyEmilia Romagna Regional GovernmentItalyFriuli Venezia Giulia Regional GovernmentItalyAzores Regional GovernmentPortugalMadeira Regional GovernmentPortugalBasque GovernmentSpainCanary Islands GovernmentSpainGalicia Regional GovernmentSpainMadrid Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpain | Vorarlberg State Government | Austria | | | | |
| Bavarian State Government Germany Hesse State Government Germany Lower Saxony State Government Germany Saxony State Government Germany Rhineland-Palatinate State Government Germany Hamburg City Senate Germany Abruzzo Regional Government Italy Bolzano/Bozen – South Tyrol Provincial Government Italy Lombardy Regional Government Italy Piedmont Regional Government Italy Veneto Regional Government Italy Emilia Romagna Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Italy Azores Regional Government Portugal Madeira Regional Government Portugal Basque Government Spain Canary Islands Government Spain Galicia Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Valencia Regional Government Spain Valencia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain | Upper Austrian State Government | Austria | | | | |
| Hesse State GovernmentGermanyLower Saxony State GovernmentGermanySaxony State GovernmentGermanyRhineland-Palatinate State GovernmentGermanyHamburg City SenateGermanyAbruzzo Regional GovernmentItalyBolzano/Bozen – South Tyrol Provincial GovernmentItalyLombardy Regional GovernmentItalyPiedmont Regional GovernmentItalyVeneto Regional GovernmentItalyEmilia Romagna Regional GovernmentItalyFriuli Venezia Giulia Regional GovernmentItalyAzores Regional GovernmentPortugalMadeira Regional GovernmentPortugalBasque GovernmentSpainCanary Islands GovernmentSpainGalicia Regional GovernmentSpainMadrid Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpain | Flemish Government | Belgium | | | | |
| Lower Saxony State GovernmentGermanySaxony State GovernmentGermanyRhineland-Palatinate State GovernmentGermanyHamburg City SenateGermanyAbruzzo Regional GovernmentItalyBolzano/Bozen – South Tyrol Provincial GovernmentItalyLombardy Regional GovernmentItalyPiedmont Regional GovernmentItalyVeneto Regional GovernmentItalyEmilia Romagna Regional GovernmentItalyFriuli Venezia Giulia Regional GovernmentItalyAzores Regional GovernmentPortugalMadeira Regional GovernmentPortugalBasque GovernmentSpainCanary Islands GovernmentSpainGalicia Regional GovernmentSpainMadrid Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpain | Bavarian State Government | Germany | | | | |
| Saxony State Government Rhineland-Palatinate State Government Germany Hamburg City Senate Germany Abruzzo Regional Government Bolzano/Bozen – South Tyrol Provincial Government Ltaly Lombardy Regional Government Ltaly Piedmont Regional Government Italy Veneto Regional Government Italy Emilia Romagna Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Italy Azores Regional Government Portugal Madeira Regional Government Basque Government Spain Canary Islands Government Spain Galicia Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Valencia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Spain Spain Spain Matrias Regional Government Spain Spain | Hesse State Government | Germany | | | | |
| Rhineland-Palatinate State Government Germany Hamburg City Senate Germany Abruzzo Regional Government Italy Bolzano/Bozen – South Tyrol Provincial Government Italy Lombardy Regional Government Italy Piedmont Regional Government Italy Veneto Regional Government Italy Emilia Romagna Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Italy Azores Regional Government Portugal Madeira Regional Government Portugal Basque Government Spain Canary Islands Government Spain Galicia Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Valencia Regional Government Spain Matria Regional Government Spain Spain Spain Matria Regional Government Spain Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Spain | Lower Saxony State Government | Germany | | | | |
| Hamburg City Senate Germany Abruzzo Regional Government Italy Bolzano/Bozen – South Tyrol Provincial Government Italy Lombardy Regional Government Italy Piedmont Regional Government Italy Veneto Regional Government Italy Emilia Romagna Regional Government Italy Emilia Romagna Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Italy Azores Regional Government Portugal Madeira Regional Government Portugal Basque Government Spain Canary Islands Government Spain Galicia Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Valencia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Asturias Regional Government Spain | Saxony State Government | Germany | | | | |
| Abruzzo Regional Government Bolzano/Bozen – South Tyrol Provincial Government Lombardy Regional Government Italy Piedmont Regional Government Italy Veneto Regional Government Italy Emilia Romagna Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Azores Regional Government Portugal Madeira Regional Government Basque Government Canary Islands Government Spain Galicia Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Valencia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Asturias Regional Government Spain Spain | Rhineland-Palatinate State Government | Germany | | | | |
| Bolzano/Bozen – South Tyrol Provincial Government Lombardy Regional Government Piedmont Regional Government Italy Veneto Regional Government Italy Emilia Romagna Regional Government Italy Emilia Romagna Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Italy Azores Regional Government Portugal Madeira Regional Government Portugal Basque Government Spain Canary Islands Government Spain Galicia Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Valencia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Asturias Regional Government Spain Spain | Hamburg City Senate | Germany | | | | |
| Lombardy Regional Government Piedmont Regional Government Italy Veneto Regional Government Italy Emilia Romagna Regional Government Italy Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Italy Azores Regional Government Portugal Madeira Regional Government Portugal Basque Government Spain Canary Islands Government Spain Galicia Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Valencia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Asturias Regional Government Spain | Abruzzo Regional Government | Italy | | | | |
| Piedmont Regional GovernmentItalyVeneto Regional GovernmentItalyEmilia Romagna Regional GovernmentItalyFriuli Venezia Giulia Regional GovernmentItalyAzores Regional GovernmentPortugalMadeira Regional GovernmentSpainBasque GovernmentSpainCanary Islands GovernmentSpainGalicia Regional GovernmentSpainMadrid Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpain | Bolzano/Bozen – South Tyrol Provincial Government | Italy | | | | |
| Veneto Regional GovernmentItalyEmilia Romagna Regional GovernmentItalyFriuli Venezia Giulia Regional GovernmentItalyAzores Regional GovernmentPortugalMadeira Regional GovernmentPortugalBasque GovernmentSpainCanary Islands GovernmentSpainGalicia Regional GovernmentSpainMadrid Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpain | Lombardy Regional Government | Italy | | | | |
| Emilia Romagna Regional GovernmentItalyFriuli Venezia Giulia Regional GovernmentItalyAzores Regional GovernmentPortugalMadeira Regional GovernmentPortugalBasque GovernmentSpainCanary Islands GovernmentSpainGalicia Regional GovernmentSpainMadrid Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpain | Piedmont Regional Government | Italy | | | | |
| Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government Azores Regional Government Madeira Regional Government Basque Government Canary Islands Government Spain Galicia Regional Government Spain Galicia Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Valencia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Asturias Regional Government Spain Spain Spain | Veneto Regional Government | Italy | | | | |
| Azores Regional Government Portugal Madeira Regional Government Portugal Basque Government Spain Canary Islands Government Spain Galicia Regional Government Spain Madrid Regional Government Spain Valencia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Asturias Regional Government Spain Spain | Emilia Romagna Regional Government | Italy | | | | |
| Madeira Regional GovernmentPortugalBasque GovernmentSpainCanary Islands GovernmentSpainGalicia Regional GovernmentSpainMadrid Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpain | Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Government | Italy | | | | |
| Basque GovernmentSpainCanary Islands GovernmentSpainGalicia Regional GovernmentSpainMadrid Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpain | Azores Regional Government | Portugal | | | | |
| Canary Islands GovernmentSpainGalicia Regional GovernmentSpainMadrid Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpain | Madeira Regional Government | Portugal | | | | |
| Galicia Regional Government Madrid Regional Government Valencia Regional Government Spain Murcia Regional Government Spain Asturias Regional Government Spain Spain | Basque Government | Spain | | | | |
| Madrid Regional GovernmentSpainValencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpain | Canary Islands Government | Spain | | | | |
| Valencia Regional GovernmentSpainMurcia Regional GovernmentSpainAsturias Regional GovernmentSpain | Galicia Regional Government | Spain | | | | |
| Murcia Regional Government Spain Asturias Regional Government Spain | Madrid Regional Government | Spain | | | | |
| Asturias Regional Government Spain | Valencia Regional Government | Spain | | | | |
| | Murcia Regional Government | Spain | | | | |
| Scottish Government United Kingdom | Asturias Regional Government | Spain | | | | |
| | Scottish Government | United Kingdom | | | | |

Local or regional authorities without legislative powers

| Sofia City | Bulgaria |
|--|----------------|
| Zlín City | Czech Republic |
| Auvergne Regional Council | France |
| Dunkirk Urban Community | France |
| Eure General Council | France |
| Augsburg City | Germany |
| Erlangen Municipality | Germany |
| Patras Municipality | Greece |
| Budapest City | Hungary |
| Alessandria Province | Italy |
| Radviliškis District Municipality | Lithuania |
| Flevoland Provincial Government | Netherlands |
| Twente Network City – (inc. municipalities of Almelo, Borne, | Netherlands |
| Hengelo, Enschede and Oldenzaal) | |
| Overijssel Province | Netherlands |
| Łódż City | Poland |
| Łódż Region Marshal's office | Poland |
| Wielkopolska Region Marshal's office | Poland |
| Pomeranian Regional Parliament | Poland |
| Masovian Region Marshal's office | Poland |
| Silesian Region Government | Poland |
| Tavira City | Portugal |
| Hunedoara City | Romania |
| Galați County Council | Romania |
| Harghita County Council | Romania |
| Košice Autonomous Region Government | Slovakia |
| Nitra Self Governing Region | Slovakia |
| Izola City | Slovenia |
| Barcelona Provincial Council | Spain |
| Ceuta Autonomous City | Spain |
| Madrid City | Spain |
| Gothenburg | Sweden |
| Västra Götaland County | Sweden |
| Skåne Regional Government | Sweden |

Associations of regional and/or local authorities

| Arco latino | European association | | | |
|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Assembly of European Regions | European association | | | |
| Association of European Border Regions | European association | | | |
| Conference of European Regional Legislative Assemblies | European association | | | |
| (CALRE) | | | | |
| Council of European Municipalities and Regions (CEMR) | European association | | | |
| Eurocities | European association | | | |
| REGLEG | European association | | | |
| Austrian State Governors' Conference | Austria | | | |
| Union of Cyprus Municipalities | Cyprus | | | |
| Danish Regions | Denmark | | | |
| Denmark Local Government | Denmark | | | |
| Association of Finnish Local and Regional Authorities | Finland | | | |
| Association of Mayors and Elected Representatives of Lozère | France | | | |
| Conference of Atlantic Arc Cities | France | | | |
| French Regions Association | France | | | |
| German Association of Towns and Municipalities | Germany | | | |
| German County Association | Germany | | | |
| Association of Prefectoral Authorities of Greece (ENAE) | Greece | | | |
| AICCRE - Italian Section of the Council of European | Italy | | | |
| Municipalities and Regions | | | | |
| Conference of the Presidents of the Italian Regional Parliaments | Italy | | | |
| Union of Italian Provinces (UPI) | Italy | | | |
| Latvian Association of Local and Regional Governments | Latvia | | | |
| Lithuanian Association of Local Authorities | Lithuania | | | |
| Association of the Provinces of the Netherlands (IPO) | Netherlands | | | |
| Association of Netherlands Municipalities (VNG) | Netherlands | | | |
| Association of Romanian Municipalities | Romania | | | |
| Association of Romanian Cities | Romania | | | |
| National Union of County Councils | Romania | | | |
| Association of Municipalities of Aragon | Spain | | | |
| Federation of Provinces and Municipalities of Extremadura | Spain | | | |
| Association of Swedish Local and Regional Authorities (SALAR) | Sweden | | | |
| Convention of Scottish Local Authorities (COSLA) | United Kingdom | | | |

CoR national delegations

| Irish Delegation to the CoR | Ireland |
|--|----------------|
| Luxembourg Delegation to the CoR (Syvicol) | Luxembourg |
| Maltese Delegation to the CoR | Malta |
| Romanian Delegation to the CoR | Romania |
| United Kingdom Delegation to the CoR (LGA) | United Kingdom |

National parliaments

| Austrian Federal Council (Bundesrat) | Austria |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| French Senate | France |
| Hellenic Parliament | Greece |
| Italian Senate | Italy |
| Portuguese Assembly | Portugal |

Appendix 2: Overview of opinions adopted between 1 January and 31 December 2013

| CoR commission Number of opinions adopted from 1 January | | inions adopted opinions on legislative | | Number of opinions containing an assessment of | Related SMN consultation | Number of opinions adopted in a policy area of mandatory CoR consultation | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | 2013 to 31 December 2013 | proposals | explicit reference to subsidiarity (Rule 51(2))* | compliance with subsidiarity principle | | Legislative proposals | Non- legislative initiatives |
| CIVEX | 11 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| COTER | 12 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| ECOS | 14 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| EDUC | 11 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| ENVE | 11 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| NAT | 10 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| BUDG | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 72 | 19 | 52 | 26 | 7 | 11 | 22 |

^{*} Rule 51(2) of the Rules of Procedure of the CoR, which states that "Committee opinions shall contain an explicit reference to the application of the subsidiarity and proportionality principles", entered into force on 10 January 2010

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory 33 | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making |
|--|--------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|--|
| CdR 1564/2012 COM (2012) 286 - final | 31 January 2013 | Opinion The EU strategy towards the eradication of trafficking in human beings 2012-2016 | No | No | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity) |
| CdR 1652/2012 | 31 January 2013 | Own-initiative opinion Strengthening EU Citizenship: Promotion of EU Citizens' Electoral Rights | No | No | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity) |
| CdR 2244/2012 COM (2012) 499 – final 2012/0237 (COD) | 31 January 2013 | Opinion The statute and funding of European Political Parties and European Political Foundations | Yes | No | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 2210/2012 COM (2012) 600 – final | 11 April 2013 | Opinion Enlargement Strategy and Main Challenges 2012/2013 | No | No | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity) |

³³

During the legislative procedure

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory 33 | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making |
|--|------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|--|
| CdR 233/2013 JOIN (2012) 27 - final | 11 April 2013 | Opinion EU support for sustainable change in transition societies | No | No | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity) |
| CdR 2214/2012 | 12 April 2013 | Own-initiative opinion Devolution in the European Union and the place for local and regional self-government in EU policy making and delivery | No | No | Yes ³⁴ | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality) |
| CdR 1389/2013 COM (2012) 746 – final | 30 May 2013 | Opinion EU Regulatory Fitness (REFIT) | No | No | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 2010/2013 COM (2013) 280 - final | 9 October 2013 | Opinion Empowering local authorities in partner countries for enhanced governance and more effective development outcomes | No | No | No | No | No |
| CdR 3536/2013 COM (2013) 269 - final | 28 November 2013 | Opinion EU Citizenship Report 2013 | No | No | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality, better law-making) |

³

Consultation of the Subsidiarity Expert Group, which ran from 21 November 2012 - 3 January 2013

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory 33 | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making |
|--|------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|--|
| CdR 3535/2013 COM (2013) 151 - final 2013/0081 (COD) | 28 November 2013 | Opinion Researchers, students, volunteers and other groups of third-country nationals | Yes | No | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 3534/2013 COM (2013) 95 – final COM (2013) 96 – final COM (2013) 97 - final | 29 November 2013 | Opinion Smart Borders Package | Yes | No | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality, better law-making) |

COTER
Overview of opinions adopted between 1 January 2013 and 31 December 2013

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory ³⁵ | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|---|--------------------|--|-----------------------|--|---------------------|--|---|
| CdR 1685/2012 COM (2012) 287 - final | 1 February 2013 | Opinion The outermost regions of the EU in the light of the Europe 2020 strategy | No | Yes ³⁶ | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality) |
| CdR 2232/2012 | 1 February 2013 | Own-initiative opinion The regional state aid guidelines for 2014- 2020 | No | No | No | N/A ³⁷ | No |
| CdR 26/2013 COM (2012) 617 - final / 2-2012/0295 (COD) | 11 April 2013 | Opinion Fund for European Aid to the Most Deprived | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity) |

During the legislative procedure

With regard to actions in the field of cohesion policy, culture, energy, trans-european networks, transport, environment and education; will depend on each legal basis of possibly forthcoming legislative proposals

Area of exclusive EU competence to which the subsidiarity principle does not apply

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | consultation | | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|---|-------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|---|
| CdR 29/2013 SWD (2013) 3 - final | 3 July 2013 | Own-initiative opinion Assessing Territorial Impacts | No | Yes ³⁸ | Yes | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 28/2013 COM (2013) 17 – final COM (2013) 18 - final | 4 July 2013 | Opinion The Clean power for transport Package | Yes | Yes | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality) |
| CdR 27/2013 COM (2013) 25 - final COM (2013) 28 - final COM (2013) 29 - final COM (2013) 34 - final COM (2013) 26 - final COM (2013) 27 - final COM (2013) 30 - final COM (2013) 31 - | 8 October 2013 | Opinion The Fourth Railway Package | Yes | Yes | Yes ³⁹ | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality) |

³⁸ With regard to actions in the field of territorial cohesion; will depend on each legal basis of possibly forthcoming legislative proposals

³⁹ Impact assessment consultation, which ran from 11 May - 21 June 2012

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory ³⁵ | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|--|------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---------------------|--|---|
| final COM (2013) 32 – final COM (2013) 33 – final | | | | | | | |
| CdR 2994/2013 | 8 October 2013 | Own-initiative opinion Urban-rural partnership and governance | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| CdR 3609/2013 ⁴⁰ | 8 October 2013 | Opinion Recommendation of local and regional authorities for better spending in the new financial perspective 2014-2020 | No | Yes | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality) |
| CdR 3610/2013 COM (2013) 295 – final COM (2013) 296 – final COM (2013) 510 - final | 28 November 2013 | Opinion Framework on Future EU Ports Policy | Yes | Yes | Yes ⁴¹ | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality) |

⁴⁰

Referral from Lithuanian Presidency

Consultation ran during the EWS period, 23 May – 30 July 2013

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | consultation | | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|--|------------------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------|----|--|---|
| CdR 5074/2013 COM (2013) 468 - final | 28 November 2013 | Opinion The added value of macro-regional strategies | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| CdR 5250/2013 | 28 November 2013 | Own-initiative opinion EU Guidelines on State Aid to Airports and Airlines | No | No | No | N/A ⁴² | Yes (better law-making) |
| CdR 6402/2013 COM(2013)522 final | 28 November 2013 | Opinion European Union Solidarity Fund | Yes | Yes ⁴³ | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality) |

⁴² Area of exclusive EU competence to which the subsidiarity principle does not apply

⁴³ With regard to actions covered by Article 175 TFEU

- 27 -

Policy field within Assessment of Other mention of which compliance with **SMN** Legislative subsidiarity / consultation Title **Opinion reference #** subsidiarity **Date** proportionality / better proposal? consultation of the CoR principle in the law-making? is opinion? $\mathbf{mandatory}^{44}$ CdR 1529/2012 Opinion Yes 31 January COM (2012) 259 -Better governance for the (subsidiarity, better law-No No No No 2013 single market making) final Opinion The role of local and CdR 1186/2012 Yes 1 February regional authorities in (subsidiarity/ COM (2012) 173 -No Yes No No 2013 promoting growth and final proportionality) boosting job creation Opinion A stronger European CdR 2255/2012 11 April industry for growth and No COM (2012) 582 -No No No No 2013 economic recovery final

ļ

During the legislative procedure

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory 44 | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|---|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|---|
| CdR 240/2013 Communication from the Commission - Community guidelines on State aid for rescuing and restructuring firms in difficulty (OJ C 244, 1.10.2004, p. 2) | 11 April 2013 | Own-initiative opinion EU guidelines on state aid for rescuing and restructuring firms in difficulty | No | No | No | N/A ⁴⁵ | Yes (subsidiarity, better law-making) |
| CdR 242/2013 COM (2012) 614 - final | 30 May 2013 | Opinion Directive on improving the gender balance among non-executive directors of companies listed on stock exchanges and related measures | Yes | No | No | Yes (compliance) | No |

¹

Area of exclusive EU competence to which the subsidiarity principle does not apply

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory 44 | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|--|-------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|---|
| CdR 246/2013 | 3 July 2013 | Own-initiative opinion Frontier workers: assessment of the situation after twenty years of the internal market: problems and perspectives | No | No | No | No | No |
| CdR 1997/2013 COM (2012) 636 - final | 8 October 2013 | Opinion CARS 2020: Action Plan for a competitive and sustainable automotive industry in Europe | No | No | No | No | No |
| CdR 1999/2013 COM (2013) 83 - final | 9 October 2013 | Opinion The EU Social Investment Package | No | Yes | No | Yes (compliance) | No |
| CdR 2447/2013 COM (2012) 795 - final | 9 October 2013 | Opinion Entrepreneurship 2020 Action Plan | No | Yes ⁴⁶ | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality, better law-making) |

[,]

As far as education and economic cohesion are concerned; will depend on each legal basis of possibly forthcoming legislative proposals

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory ⁴⁴ | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|---|------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---------------------|--|---|
| CdR 3303/2013 COM (2013) 150 - final | 9 October 2013 | Opinion Green Paper on the long- term financing of the European economy | No | No | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality) |
| CdR 5277/2013 COM (2013) 453 – final COM (2013) 449 - final | 28 November 2013 | Opinion Directive on E-Invoicing and on end-to-end E- Procurement | Yes | No | Yes ⁴⁷ | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 5279/2013 COM (2013) 407 - final | 28 November 2013 | Own-initiative opinion Action Plan for a Competitive and Sustainable Steel Industry in Europe | No | No | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 5278/2013 COM (2013) 430 - final | 28 November 2013 | Opinion Enhanced cooperation between Public Employment Services | Yes | Yes | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (better law-making) |
| CdR 5280/2013 COM (2013) 454 - final COM (2013) 460 - final | 28 November 2013 | Opinion Roma Integration Strategies | No | No | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality, better law-making) |

⁴

Consultation ran during the EWS period, 1 July – 26 September 2013

- 31 -

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory 48 | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law- making? |
|--|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|---|
| CdR 1672/2012 COM (2012) 392 - final | 31 January 2013 | Opinion European Research Area | No | No | No | No | No |
| CdR 1673/2012 COM (2012) 529 - final | 11 April 2013 | Opinion Unleashing the potential of cloud computing in Europe | No | No | No | No | No |
| CdR 2076/2012 COM (2012) 497 - final | 12 April 2013 | Opinion Enhancing and focusing EU international cooperation in research and innovation | No | No | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (subsidiarity) |
| CdR 2392/2012 COM (2012) 669 - final | 12 April 2013 | Opinion Rethinking Education | No | Yes | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality) |
| CdR 2391/2012 COM (2012) 537 - final | 30 May 2013 | Opinion Cultural and creative sectors for growth and jobs | No | Yes | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (better law-making) |

[;]

During the legislative procedure

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory 48 | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law- making? |
|--|------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|---|
| CdR 2414/2012 ⁴⁹ | 30 May 2013 | Opinion Closing the innovation divide | No | No | No | No | Yes (better law-making) |
| CdR 789/2013 COM (2012) 727 – final COM (2012) 728 - final | 30 May 2013 | Opinion Youth Employment Package | No | Yes | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity) |
| CdR 1646/2013 JOIN (2013) 1 – final COM (2013) 48 - final | 3 July 2013 | Opinion Cybersecurity strategy | Yes | No | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 3597/2013 COM (2013) 147 - final | 3 July 2013 | Opinion Reducing the costs of deploying broadband | Yes | No | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 5559/2013 COM (2013) 329 - final | 8 October 2013 | Opinion Guidelines for trans-European telecommunications networks | Yes | Yes | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality) |
| CdR 3952/2013 | 29 November 2013 | Own-initiative opinion Disability, sport and leisure | No | No | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity) |

4

EU Irish Presidency referral

ENVE Overview of opinions adopted between 1 January 2013 and 31 December 2013

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory 50 | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|--|--------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|---|
| CdR 2182/2012 COM (2012) 271 - final | 1 February 2013 | Opinion Renewable energy: a major player in the European energy market | No | Yes | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality) |
| CdR 591/2013 COM (2012) 628 – final | 30 May 2013 | Opinion Revision of the Environmental Impact Assessment Directive | Yes | Yes | No | Yes (non-compliance) | Yes (proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 593/2013 COM (2012) 710 – final | 30 May 2013 | Opinion 7th Environment Action Programme | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity and better law-making) |
| CdR 589/2013 C(2012) 4701 – final | 4 July 2013 | Opinion Smart Cities and Communities – European Innovation Partnership | No | Yes | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality) |
| CdR 595/2013 COM (2012) 663 – final | 4 July 2013 | Opinion Making the internal energy market work | No | Yes | No | No | No |

⁵⁰

During the legislative procedure

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory 50 | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|--|-------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|---|
| CdR 1617/2013 | 4 July 2013 | Outlook opinion The review of the European Union's key waste targets | No | Yes | Yes ⁵¹ | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 3753/2013 COM (2013) 108 – final | 8 October 2013 | Opinion EU space industrial policy | No | No | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality) |
| CdR 1616/2013 | 8 October 2013 | Own-initiative opinion Local and regional authorities perspective on shale/tight gas and oil (unconventional hydrocarbons) | No | Yes | No | No | Yes (better law-making) |
| CdR 3752/2013 COM (2013) 216 – final | 8 October 2013 | Opinion EU strategy for adaptation to climate change | No | Yes | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 3751/2013 COM (2013) 123 – final | 8 October 2013 | Opinion Green Paper on a European strategy on plastic waste in the environment | No | Yes | No ⁵² | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality) |

⁵¹ Consultation of the Subsidiarity Expert Group and the Subsidiarity Monitoring Network ran from 12 March to 3 May 2013

⁵² The report summarising the outcome of the consultation of the Subsidiarity Expert Group and the SMN on the review of EU waste legislation has been sent to the rapporteur

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory ⁵⁰ | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|--|-------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---------------------|--|---|
| CdR 4577/2013 COM (2013) 249 – final | 8 October 2013 | Opinion Green infrastructure – enhancing Europe's natural capital | No | Yes | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (proportionality) |

NAT Overview of opinions adopted between 1 January 2013 and 31 December 2013

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory ⁵³ | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|---|--------------------|--|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|---|
| CdR 2202/2012 COM (2012) 473 – final | 31 January 2013 | Opinion Marine Knowledge 2020 | No | No | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality) |
| CdR 2203/2012 COM (2012) 494 – final | 31 January 2013 | Opinion Blue Growth Opportunities for marine and maritime sustainable growth | No | Yes ⁵⁴ | No | No | No |

⁵³

During the legislative procedure

As far as "blue energy" (marine renewable energy industry) is concerned

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory ⁵³ | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|---|----------------|---|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|---|
| CdR 2064/2013 COM (2012) 741 - final | 30 May 2013 | Opinion Evolution of the market situation and the consequent conditions for smoothly phasing-out the milk quota system – second "soft landing" report | No | No | No | No | No |
| CdR 2062/2013 COM (2012) 788 – final | 3 July 2013 | Opinion Manufacture, Presentation and Sale of Tobacco and Related Products | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| CdR 2063/2013 COM (2012) 736 – final | 3 July 2013 | Opinion eHealth Action Plan 2012-2020 – Innovative Healthcare for the 21st Century | No | Yes | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity |

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory ⁵³ | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|---|------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|-------------------|--|---|
| CdR 3765/2013 | 9 October 2013 | Own-initiative opinion The sustainability of rural areas | No | Yes ⁵⁵ | No | No | No |
| CdR 3766/2013 COM (2013) 133 – final | 9 October 2013 | Opinion Proposed directive for maritime spatial planning and integrated coastal management | Yes | Yes ⁵⁶ | Yes ⁵⁷ | Yes (non-compliance) | Yes (proportionality) |
| CdR 5292/2013 COM (2013) 229 – final | 29 November 2013 | Opinion Strategic guidelines for the sustainable development of EU aquaculture | No | No | No | No | Yes (better law-making) |
| CdR 5293/2013 | 29 November 2013 | Own-initiative opinion State Aids to Fisheries and Aquaculture | No | No | No | No | Yes (better law-making) |

⁵⁵ As far as economic, social and territorial cohesion are concerned; will depend on each legal basis of possibly forthcoming legislative proposals

⁵⁶ As regards transport (Article 100(2) TFEU), environment (Article 192(1) TFEU) and energy (Article 194(2) TFEU). Consultation is not mandatory as regards Article 43(2) relating to the common fisheries policy

⁵⁷ SMN partners were invited to participate in a stakeholder consultation held on 31 May 2013 and to reply to a questionnaire, upon request of the rapporteur

| Opinion reference # | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory ⁵³ | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion? | Other mention of subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making? |
|--|------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|---|
| CdR 5295/2013 COM (2013) 265 – final 2013/0140 (COD) | 29 November 2013 | Opinion Regulation on Official Controls | Yes | Yes | No | Yes (compliance) | Yes (better law-making) |

| Opinion reference | Date | Title | Legislative proposal? | Policy field within which consultation of the CoR is mandatory ⁵⁸ | SMN consultation | Assessment of compliance with subsidiarity principle in the opinion | Other reference to subsidiarity / proportionality / better law-making in the opinion |
|---|--------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|---|--|
| CdR 1778/2012 | 31 January 2013 | Own-initiative opinion Creating greater synergies between EU, national and subnational budgets | No | No | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity) |
| CdR 272/2013 | 11 April 2013 | Opinion Synergies between private investment and public funding at local and regional levels | No | No | No | No | Yes (subsidiarity, proportionality, better law-making) |
| CdR 275/2013 SEC(2013)370 Council 11655/13 | 8 October 2013 | Own-initiative opinion The Draft EU Budget for 2014 | No | No | No | No | No |

58

Appendix 3 – Key opinions adopted in 2013 with regard to subsidiarity and proportionality

1. Opinion on the Revision of the Environmental Impact Assessment Directive (COM(2012) 628, CdR 591/2013, adopted on 30 May 2013)

The opinion, while emphasising "that in many ways, the proposed changes to the legal framework for European environmental impact assessment are necessary and welcome", raises some subsidiarity concerns. The opinion recalls that "the proposed revision of the EIA Directive will have a significant impact on local and regional authorities" and contrary to the European Commission's expectation, the CoR "believes that many of the proposed amendments of the EIA Directive will lead to an increased administrative burden for local and regional authorities in terms of organisation, management and expenditure." Furthermore, in relation to the screening procedure, the CoR takes the view that "the proposed change is inconsistent with the subsidiarity principle." The opinion underlines that the inclusion of additional specifications and criteria contradicts the idea that "Member States should be given scope to take appropriate decisions reflecting specific national circumstances. The number of criteria to be taken into account and the level of detail go beyond what should be made binding at EU level".

2. Opinion on the Manufacture, Presentation and Sale of Tobacco and Related Products (COM(2012) 788 final, CdR 2062/2013, adopted on 3 July 2013)

The opinion does not raise any explicit objections on subsidiarity grounds, but expresses concerns in relation to delegated acts. The opinion warns that the recourse to such acts gives "the European Commission wide powers to amend or supplement, unilaterally and a posteriori, essentials aspects of the directive" and believes that this "might contravene the Lisbon Treaty, which stipulates that delegated acts may only be used to amend or supplement 'non-essential elements' of a legislative act, which is not the case in this instance". Furthermore, in relation to cigars, cigarillos and pipe tobacco, the provision which provides for the power to automatically withdraw certain exemptions set out in the text if there is a "substantial change of circumstances," is deemed "too general and too broad" and it is feared that "this could lead to discretional use on the part of the Commission."

Nine reasoned opinions have been issued by national parliaments or chambers in relation to this directive. Several of these opinions raised concerns regarding the choice of legal basis (Article 114 TFEU), in that they consider that the main purpose of the directive is protection of health and that the harmonisation of the internal market is merely a secondary consequence. Others, although they might endorse the legal basis, find, like the CoR, that the pronounced use of delegated acts confers excessive discretional powers onto the European Commission, which infringes on the competence of national parliaments and is thus contrary to the subsidiarity principle. Furthermore, certain national parliaments consider that the proposed objective will not be more efficiently achieved by the proposal.

3. Outlook opinion on the Review of the Targets contained in the Waste Framework Directive, the Landfill Directive and the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive (CdR 1617/2013, adopted on 4 July 2013)

The review of EU waste legislation is one of the five priorities identified in the 2013 CoR Subsidiarity Work Programme. A consultation of the Subsidiarity Expert Group (SEG) and the Subsidiarity Monitoring Network (SMN) in relation to this opinion was thus launched on 12 March 2013 and ran until 3 May 2013. The experts and the partners of the SMN were invited to provide a subsidiarity analysis concerning the potential reinforcement of current waste targets and the potential introduction of new ones. The consultation report, summarising and analysing the main points of the contributions, was subsequently submitted to the rapporteur of the outlook opinion, Mr Michel Lebrun (BE/EPP), and to the European Commission for its impact assessment⁵⁹.

The consultation brought up important elements stemming from the subsidiarity/proportionality analysis, and a majority of respondents see two aspects differently from the rapporteur: Whereas the rapporteur is in favour of reinforcing certain targets in the context of the Waste Framework and the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directives⁶⁰, asking at the same time for intermediate targets, the majority of respondents oppose such reinforced targets. They refer mainly to the different levels of transposition of the relevant provisions in the Member States and argue that there should be first compliance with the existing ones throughout the EU before considering such reinforcement. Some of the some of these elements were fed into the CoR opinion.

4. Own-initiative opinion on Assessing Territorial Impacts (SWD(2013) 3 final, CdR 29/2013, adopted on 3 July 2013)

The opinion on the assessment of territorial impacts expresses the views of local and regional authorities regarding the assessment of territorial impacts of EU proposals and comments in particular on the Staff Working Document adopted by the European Commission on this subject. In the opinion, the CoR declares that a "territorial impact assessment as part of a subsidiarity check can constitute a key argument for action at EU level should it demonstrate that this would be of greater benefit than action at Member State or regional level" and also "underlines that territorial impact assessments can also be useful way of shedding light on potential downsides to EU policy proposals, which is especially important in view of the principle of proportionality. Thus territorial impact assessment is also an important tool for better lawmaking". Furthermore, the opinion "sees a close link between territorial impact assessment, assessing impacts in general, and subsidiarity and proportionality auditing of new legislation at European level, and points to the work already carried out by the Europe 2020 Monitoring Platform and the Subsidiarity Monitoring Network".

COR-2014-00903-12-00-NB-REF

⁵⁹ CoR - Waste Consultation Report

Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives, Art. 11 (4); European Parliament and Council Directive 94/62/EC of 20 December 1994 on packaging and packaging waste, Art. 6 (5)

The CoR also recommends that the Commission pay more attention to assessing territorial impacts in its efforts to further territorial cohesion, since this could *inter alia* foster a shared understanding of the issue and align it with local and regional circumstances and requirements in accordance with subsidiarity. Finally, the opinion gives guidance for future CoR action regarding territorial impact assessments and paves the way for a new CoR strategy in the field.

A targeted consultation, mentioned in the opinion, of local and regional authorities was launched to assess their views on a number of aspects related to the opinion. The consultation was submitted to the CoR Networks (the Europe 2020 Monitoring Platform, the Subsidiarity Monitoring Network and the EGTC Platform) and to regional offices based in Brussels. The outcome of the consultation highlighted that territorial impact assessments can help to better coordinate EU sectorial policies and that such assessments should be compulsory for a number of policy areas⁶¹. Furthermore, it was underlined that in order to ensure the successful implementation of the Europe 2020 strategy, it is necessary to consider the territorial dimension and the Annual Growth Survey should thus pay attention to territorial impact. Moreover, the consultation expressed the opinion that local and regional authorities should be involved in the territorial impact assessment process and urged the CoR to play an active role in the same.

5. Opinion on Maritime Spatial Planning and Integrated Coastal Management (COM(2013) 133 final, CdR 3766/2013, adopted on 9 October 2013)

The opinion highlights that the subsidiarity check by national parliaments of the proposed directive produced nine reasoned opinions and agrees "that elements of the proposed Directive fail to adequately meet necessity and added-value requirements for legislation at EU level". Several of the reasoned opinions raised issues with regard to the choice of multiple legal bases (Articles 43(2), 100(2), 192(1) and 194(2) TFEU), and put forward that there is an insufficient legal basis for spatial planning at the European level. The CoR opinion goes in the same direction and appreciates that there are "concerns regarding (a) competence - lack of clear competence at EU level" while maritime spatial planning and integrated coastal management "are already national, regional and or local competences in some Member States; (b) failure of the draft directive to adequately take into account regional/local specificities of coastal areas and existing planning and management practices; and (c) the appropriateness of using EU legislation to address specific cross-border issues and the adequacy of the EU level to frame cooperation with third countries where international maritime conventions already operate" Furthermore, the opinion finds that the principle of proportionality has been breached: given that the question of whether the EU should legislate remains open, the question of how it should legislate also needs to be addressed. Moreover, the draft opinion considers the proposal too prescriptive and not providing enough flexibility for implementation. There is also a fear that the prescriptive approach to some aspects of the proposal will increase bureaucracy, administrative burden and additional costs in implementing the directive, particularly at local level, and thus further undermine its added-value.

_

^{61 &}lt;u>CoR - Report on Assessment on Territorial Impacts</u>

Finally, the draft opinion has reservations on the proposed use of further implementing acts, which should focus on procedural aspects only and not on operational steps for the establishment of plans and strategies.

Opinion on Framework on Future EU Ports Policy 6.

(COM(2013) 295 final, COM(2013) 296 final, COM(2013) 510 final, CdR 3610/2013, adopted on 28 November 2013)

The proposal for a framework on Future EU Ports Policy has been identified as one of the five priorities in the CoR Subsidiarity Work Programme 2013. In this context, the CoR has conducted a subsidiarity-related consultation of the partners of the Subsidiarity Monitoring Network (SMN). The consultation, launched on REGPEX in parallel with the Early Warning System period (23 May to 30 July 2013), collected six contributions in total: five from REGPEX partners and one from the European Ports Organisation⁶². The contributions mostly conclude that the initiative is in compliance with the principle of subsidiarity. However, one contribution (from the Sicilian Regional Assembly) concludes on a breach of the subsidiarity principle, underlining the diversity of the ports in Europe, and considers that a less detailed directive would be preferable. Furthermore, seven reasoned opinions have been issued by national parliaments of chambers thereof with regard to the draft regulation.

The CoR has also conducted a pilot territorial impact assessment workshop based on the ESPON Arts quick scan method on this initiative on 25 September 2013. This workshop also emphasised the diversity of the ports in Europe and drew attention to concerns related to proportionality and increased administrative burdens emanating from the proposal. These concerns are also addressed in the opinion, which considers the proposal compliant with the subsidiarity principle, but raises the issue of the adequate legal instrument in relation to the proportionality principle. In this respect, the CoR remains "unconvinced about the choice of a regulation rather than a directive as for the previous proposals made by the European Commission or for related legislation (in particular concessions). It would be contradictory to choose a legal instrument that is directly binding in its entirety as the regulation while recognising the main role of the Member States, regional and local public authorities involved in port infrastructure development." Consequently, the CoR considers that a directive would be "better suitable to take into consideration the wide diversity of ports in the EU."

7. Opinion on E-invoicing and end-to-end e-procurement

(COM(2013) 449 final, COM(2013) 453 final, CdR 5277/2013, adopted on 28 November 2013)

The proposal for a directive on e-invoicing in public procurement has been identified as one of the five priorities in the CoR Subsidiarity Work Programme 2013 and the CoR has in this context conducted a subsidiarity-related consultation of the partners of the SMN and its Subsidiarity Expert Group.

⁶² CoR - Ports Package

The consultation, launched on REGPEX in parallel with the Early Warning System period (1 July to 26 September 2013), collected six contributions in total: three from REGPEX partners, one from a SMN partner and two from members of the Subsidiarity Expert Group⁶³. Overall, the contributions conclude that the initiative is in compliance with the principle of subsidiarity. Action at EU level is considered necessary because of the transnational aspects of the issue, although the small size of the cross-border procurement market and thus the limited impact of the legislation in this field are highlighted by two of the contributors. As regards proportionality, the four REGPEX/SMN contributors concede that a directive is the appropriate instrument, whereas the two individual experts argue that the same objective could have been achieved by a more voluntary form of action or by coordinating the different national schemes. With regard to better law-making, the contributions find the proposed directive vague in certain areas and stress the disproportionate costs and administrative burdens of implementing the directive, especially for small contracting authorities and entities and micro enterprises. It should be noted in this context that no reasoned opinions have been issued by national parliaments or chambers thereof with regard to proposed directive. The opinion also concludes on compliance with the principle of subsidiarity and considers that "given that the aim of the proposal for a directive to solve the problem of interoperability in cross-border trade cannot be satisfactorily achieved by Member States acting alone and given that the draft directive is the appropriate instrument, considers that the proposal is in compliance with the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality".

8. Opinion on a Regulation on Official Controls

(COM(2013) 265 final, 2013/0140 (COD), CdR 5295/2013, adopted on 29 November 2013)

The opinion on the proposal for a regulation on official controls in relation to the application of food and feed law, rules on animal health and welfare, plant health, plant reproductive material, plant protection product, raises some concerns with regard to the use of delegated acts. While the opinion confirms that it is useful to "harmonise legislation on official controls to ensure a sufficient level of health safety for food and feed and to ensure the free movement of goods on the internal market," it opposes the fact that the "proposal gives the Commission the power to adopt delegated acts in practically all regulatory areas" and considers it "imperative that any rules with significant repercussions for countries' supervisory activities and budgets be explicitly included in the Regulation." However, the opinion "welcomes the fact that the regulation incorporates a series of measures on official controls in the agri-food chain" and "confirms that the best way of providing effective protection for EU agri-food products is to ensure that all products sold in the EU, regardless of their origin, are subject to the same or equivalent controls in the area of hygiene and food safety and quality." Furthermore, the CoR "considers that in specific cases, the regulation does not limit the right of the Member States to take action to provide a higher level of consumer protection by means of official controls".

⁶³

For further information please contact:

Unit E2 - Subsidiarity

subsidiarity@cor.europa.eu www.cor.europa.eu/subsidiarity



MAY 2014



Edited by the Directorate for Horizontal Policies and Networks of the Committee of the Regions

Rue Belliard/Belliardstraat, 101 _ 1040 Bruxelles/Brussel _ BELGIQUE/BELGIË Tel. +32 22822211 _ Fax +32 22822325

www.cor.europa.eu

Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged